## Appendix F

## Status Quo Bias Models

In Table F.1 I report the model that produced the results reported in Figure 6.5. The core model is model 1 in the table here. I also report several other specifications showing that the pattern of results reported in the main text are generally maintained under alternative specifications. Model 2 includes partisan control of the House and Senate. Model 3 includes a variety of policy controls. Model 4 adds financialization, union membership, and the size of the economy. Model 5 demonstrates the robustness of the core results in a more parsimonious model.

Table F.1: Models of Top Income Shares

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Top 1% Share, Including Capital $Gains_{t-1}$	-0.18***	-0.16***	-0.43***	$-0.17^{*}$	-0.19***	
	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.05)	
$\Delta$ Senate Median to Filibuster Pivot Distance $_t$	$6.77^{*}$	5.45	$7.17^{*}$	$7.03^{*}$	8.57**	
	(3.66)	(3.93)	(3.92)	(4.07)	(3.58)	
Senate Median to Filibuster Pivot Distance $_{t-1}$	8.16***	6.14*	6.18	9.12***	7.71***	
	(2.80)	(3.28)	(4.80)	(3.32)	(2.74)	
$\Delta$ Maximum Preference $\mathrm{Distance}_t$	-0.17	-0.52	0.80	-0.10		
	(0.88)	(0.92)	(0.91)	(1.35)		
Maximum Preference $\mathrm{Distance}_{t-1}$	0.09	-0.28	-0.81	-0.13		
	(0.70)	(0.81)	(0.91)	(1.06)		

Continued on next page ...

Table F.1 – continued from previous page

Table F.1 -	- continued fro	om previous	page		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
$\Delta$ Congressional Policy Product <sub>t</sub>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	
Congressional Policy $Product_{t-1}$	-0.04***	-0.02*	-0.05**	-0.05***	-0.04***
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$\Delta$ House Party Polarization <sub>t</sub>	9.22	7.32	2.20	6.95	
	(6.02)	(6.81)	(6.02)	(7.64)	
House Party Polarization $_{t-1}$	4.02	4.02	1.62	0.02	4.43**
	(2.55)	(2.74)	(3.99)	(5.96)	(1.75)
Filibuster Distance*Top $Share_{t-1}$	1.75**	1.08	2.59**	1.95*	1.48*
	(0.75)	(0.90)	(1.04)	(0.98)	(0.74)
Maximum Distance*Top Share $_{t-1}$	0.34	0.34	0.13	0.24	
• • •	(0.26)	(0.27)	(0.29)	(0.33)	
Congressional Policy Product*Top $Share_{t-1}$	-0.01**	$-0.01^*$	-0.01***	$-0.01^*$	$-0.01^{*}$
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
House Party Polarization*Top $Share_{t-1}$	-3.00***	-2.18**	-3.57***	-3.32**	-2.30***
, <u> </u>	(0.90)	(1.07)	(1.31)	(1.29)	(0.76)
$\Delta$ Democratic $\mathrm{Senate}_t$		-0.75			
		(0.59)			
Democratic Senate $_{t-1}$		-0.68			
		(0.44)			
$\Delta$ Democratic $\mathrm{House}_t$		0.48			
		(0.69)			
Democratic $House_{t-1}$		0.11			
		(0.50)			
$\Delta$ Top Capital Gains Tax $\mathrm{Rate}_t$			-0.04		
			(0.05)		
Top Capital Gains Tax $Rate_{t-1}$			-0.04		
			(0.04)		
$\Delta$ Top Marginal Tax $\mathrm{Rate}_t$			-0.02		
			(0.03)		
Top Marginal Tax $Rate_{t-1}$			-0.06**		
			(0.02)		
$\Delta$ Financial Deregulation <sub>t</sub>			1.50**		
			(0.65)		

Continued on next page  $\dots$ 

Table F.1 – continued from previous page

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Financial $Deregulation_{t-1}$			0.01		
			(0.48)		
$\Delta$ Prime $\mathrm{Rate}_t$			-0.48**		
			(0.18)		
Prime $Rate_{t-1}$			-0.25**		
			(0.11)		
$\Delta$ Financial Profits, % $\mathrm{GDP}_t$				0.12	
				(0.88)	
Financial Profits, % $\mathrm{GDP}_{t-1}$				0.16	
				(0.56)	
$\Delta$ Union Membership $\mathrm{Rate}_t$				0.03	
				(0.19)	
Union Membership $Rate_{t-1}$				0.01	
				(0.10)	
$\Delta$ Real GDP Per Capita (2005 USD) $_t$				-0.00	
				(0.00)	
Real GDP Per Capita (2005 USD) $_{t-1}$				0.00	
				(0.00)	
Constant	$0.27^{*}$	0.60	6.45***	-1.03	0.30**
	(0.14)	(0.41)	(2.13)	(3.37)	(0.14)
Observations	67	67	67	67	67
$R^2$	0.55	0.57	0.69	0.56	0.48

Prais-Winsten estimates with standard errors in parentheses.

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01; two-tailed tests